

COUPLING NONLINEAR σ -MODELS TO RELAXED YANG-MILLS SUPERMULTIPLETS IN $(2,0)$ -SUPERSPACE

M. S. Góes-Negrão^{*†1}, J. A. Helayël-Neto^{*†2}
M. R. Negrão^{*†3}

**Universidade Católica de Petrópolis (UCP-GFT)
Rua Barão do Amazonas 124, 25685-070, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil*

*†Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF-MCT)
Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

Abstract

Following a previous work on Abelian $(2,0)$ -gauge theories, one reassesses here the task of coupling $(2,0)$ relaxed Yang-Mills superpotentials to a $(2,0)$ -nonlinear σ -model, by gauging the isotropy or the isometry group of the latter. One pays special attention to the extra “chiral-like” component-field gauge potential that comes out from the relaxation of superspace constraints.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Lm, 11.15.-q and 11.30.Pb

¹negrão@gft.ucp.br

²helayel@gft.ucp.br

³guida@gft.ucp.br

In a previous paper [1], one has investigated the dynamics and the couplings of a pair of Abelian vector potentials of a class of $(2,0)$ -gauge supermultiplets([2]-[8]) whose symmetry lies on a single $U(1)$ group. Since a number of interesting features came out, it was a natural question to ask how these fields would behave if the non-Abelian version of the theory was to be considered.

We can see that some subtle changes indeed occur. As we wish to make a full comparison between the two aspects (Abelian and non-Abelian) of the same sort of theory, all the general set up of the original formulation was kept.

The fundamental non-Abelian matter superfields are the scalar and left-handed spinor superfields, both subject to the chirality constraint; their respective component-field expressions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi^i(x; \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= e^{i\theta\bar{\theta}\partial_{++}}(\phi^i + \theta\lambda^i), \\ \Psi^I(x; \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= e^{i\theta\bar{\theta}\partial_{++}}(\psi^I + \theta\sigma^I),\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

the fields ϕ^i and σ^I are scalars, whereas λ^i and ψ^I stand respectively for right- and left-handed Weyl spinors. The indices i and I label the representations where the corresponding matter fields are set to transform under the Yang-Mills group.

We present below the gauge transformations of both Φ and Ψ , assuming that we are dealing with a compact and simple gauge group, $\mathcal{G}$, with generators G_a that fulfill the algebra $[G_a, G_b] = if_{abc}G_c$. The transformations read as below:

$$\Phi'^i = R(\Lambda)_j^i \Phi^j, \quad \Psi'^I = S(\Lambda)_J^I \Psi^J,\tag{2}$$

where R and S are matrices that respectively represent a gauge group element in the representations under which Φ and Ψ transform. Taking into account the chiral constraints on Φ and Ψ , and bearing in mind the exponential representation for R and S in terms of the group generators, we find that the gauge parameter superfields, Λ^a , must satisfy the same sort of constraint, namely, they are chiralscalar superfields.

The kinetic action for Φ^i and Ψ^I can be made invariant under the local transformations (2) by minimally coupling gauge potential superfields, $\Gamma_{--}^a(x; \theta, \bar{\theta})$ and $V^a(x; \theta, \bar{\theta})$, according to the minimal coupling prescriptions:

$$S_{inv} = \int d^2x d\theta d\bar{\theta} \{ i[\bar{\Phi} e^{hV} (\nabla_{--} \Phi) - (\bar{\nabla}_{--} \bar{\Phi}) e^{hV} \Phi] + \bar{\Psi} e^{hV} \Psi \}, \quad (3)$$

as it has already been done in ref. [1].

The infinitesimal gauge transformations for V^a and Γ_{--}^a are given by

$$\delta V^a = \frac{i}{h} (\bar{\Lambda} - \Lambda)^a - \frac{1}{2} f^{abc} (\bar{\Lambda} + \Lambda)_b V_c \quad (4)$$

and

$$\delta \Gamma_{--}^a = -f^{abc} \Lambda_b \Gamma_{c--} + \frac{1}{g} \partial_{--} \Lambda^a. \quad (5)$$

No derivative acts on the Λ^a 's in eq.(4), which suggests the possibility of choosing a Wess-Zumino gauge for V^a . If such a choice is adopted and if the superfield V is kept the same as in ref. [1], the same identifications done in the Abelian case hold but once the Wess-Zumino gauge is left behind, the superfield-strength is lost. In order to make it possible to write down a superfield-strength in any gauge chosen, it is necessary to redefine our superfield V as follows:

$$V^a(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = C^a + \theta \xi - \bar{\theta} \bar{\xi} + \theta \bar{\theta} \hat{v}_{++}, \quad (6)$$

where the $\hat{v}_{++}$ is given by

$$\hat{v}_{++} = v_{++}^a + \frac{ig}{2} f^{abc} \xi_b \bar{\xi}_c \quad (7)$$

is the real gauge field of the theory. The θ -expansion for Γ_{--}^a is the same as in ref. [1] and reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{--}^a(x; \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{2} (A_{--}^a + iB_{--}^a) + i\theta(\rho^a + i\eta^a) \\ &+ i\bar{\theta}(\chi^a + i\omega^a) + \frac{1}{2} \theta\bar{\theta} (M^a + iN^a). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

A_{--}^a , B_{--}^a and v_{++}^a are the light-cone components of the gauge potential fields; ρ^a, η^a, χ^a and ω^a are left-handed Majorana spinors; M^a, N^a and C^a are real scalars and ξ^a is a complex right-handed spinor.

The gauge transformations for the θ -component fields read as below:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta C^a &= -f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) C^c, \\ \delta \xi^a &= -\frac{i}{g} \beta - f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) \xi^c - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a \beta^b C^c, \\ \delta v_{++}^a &= \frac{2}{g} \partial_{++} \Re \alpha^a - f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) v_{++}^c, \\ \delta A_{--}^a &= \frac{2}{g} \partial_{--} (\Re \alpha^a) - f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) A_{--}^c, \\ \delta B_{--}^a &= -f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) B_{--}^c, \\ \delta \eta^a &= -f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) \eta^c + \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a (\Re \beta^b) A_{--}^c \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a (\Im m \beta^b) B_{--}^c - \frac{1}{g} \partial_{--} \Re \beta^a, \\ \delta \rho^a &= -f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) \rho^c - \frac{1}{2} f_{bc}^a (\Re \beta^b) B_{--}^c, \\ \delta M^a &= -f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) M^c + f_{bc}^a (\partial_{++} (\Re \alpha^b) B_{--}^c - 2f_{bc}^a (\Re \beta^b) \omega^c \\ \delta N^a &= \frac{2}{g} \partial_{++} \partial_{--} \Re \alpha^a - f_{bc}^a (\Re \alpha^b) N^c - f_{bc}^a (\partial_{++} \Re \alpha^b) A_{--}^c \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2f_{bc}^a(\Re\beta^b)\chi^c - 2f_{bc}^a(\Re\beta^b)\omega^c - 2f_{bc}^a(\Im\beta^b)\omega^c \\
\delta\chi^a &= -f_{bc}^a\alpha^b\chi^c, \\
\delta\omega^a &= -f_{bc}^a\alpha^b\omega^c
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The gauge variations suggest that the v_{++}^a -component could be identified as the light-cone partner of A_{--} ,

$$v_{++}^a \equiv A_{++}^a. \tag{10}$$

This procedure yields two component-field gauge potentials: $A^\mu \equiv (A^0, A^1) = (A^{++}; A^{--})$ and B_{--} ; the latter without the B_{++} partner just as it happened in the Abelian case.

To discuss the field-strength superfields, we start analysing the algebra of the gauge covariant derivatives.

After doing so we find out that the gauge field, A_μ , has its field strength, $F_{\mu\nu}$, located at the θ -component of the combination $\Omega \equiv W_- + \bar{U}_-$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
[\nabla_+, \nabla_{--}] &\equiv W_+ = -igD_+\Gamma_{--} - \partial_{++}\Gamma_+ - ig[\Gamma_+, \Gamma_{--}], \\
[\bar{\nabla}_+, \nabla_{--}] &\equiv U_- = -ig\bar{D}_+\Gamma_{--}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This suggests the following kinetic action for the Yang-Mills sector:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{YM} &= \frac{1}{8g^2} \int d^2x d\theta d\bar{\theta} Tr \Omega \bar{\Omega} \\
&= \int d^2x Tr \left[\frac{-1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{8} \Sigma \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{++} \bar{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{8} M^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} (\partial_{++} \partial_{--} C)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_{++} \partial_{--} C) M \right] + interactions,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\Sigma = \rho + i\eta + \bar{\chi} - i\bar{\omega}$ and $A \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial} B \equiv (\partial A)B - A(\partial B)$.

Choosing now a supersymmetry-covariant gauge-fixing, instead of the Wess-Zumino, we propose the following gauge-fixing term in superspace:

$$S_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \int d^2x d\theta d\bar{\theta} Tr[\Pi \bar{\Pi}] \quad (13)$$

where $\Pi = -iD_+\Gamma_{--} + \frac{1}{2}D_+\partial_{--}V$. With this, the gauge-fixing Lagrangian became

$$\begin{aligned} S_{gf} = & -\frac{1}{2\alpha} \int d^2x \{[(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 + (\partial_\mu A^\mu)N + \frac{1}{4}N^2] \\ & + \frac{1}{4}[M^2 - 2M(\partial_{++}B_{--}) + (\partial_{++}B_{--})^2 + (\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)^2 \\ & + 2M(\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)(\partial_{++}B_{--}) - 2M(\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)] \\ & - i(\rho + i\eta) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{++} (\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta})\} + interactions. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

So, the total action reads as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S = & \int d^2x Tr \{ -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu A^\mu)N - \frac{1}{8\alpha}N^2 + \frac{1}{8}(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha})M^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4\alpha}M(\partial_{++}B_{--}) - \frac{1}{8\alpha}(\partial_{++}B_{--})^2 + \frac{1}{8}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)(\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)M - \frac{1}{4\alpha}(\partial_{++}\partial_{--}C)(\partial_{++}B_{--}) \\ & - \frac{i}{2\alpha}(\rho + i\eta) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{++} (\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta}) + \frac{i}{8}\Sigma \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_{++} \bar{\Sigma} \}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using eq.(15), we are ready to write down the propagators for A^a , B_{--}^a , C^a , N^a , M^a , ρ^a , η^a , χ^a and ω^a :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AA \rangle &= -\frac{2i}{\square}(\theta_{\mu\nu} + 2\alpha\omega_{\mu\nu}), \\ \langle AN \rangle &= -\langle NA \rangle = -4i\alpha \frac{\partial_\mu}{\square} \\ \langle NN \rangle &= 8i\alpha \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle CC \rangle &= \frac{32i\alpha}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \frac{1}{\square^2}, \\
\langle BC \rangle &= -\langle CB \rangle = -\frac{32i\alpha(3\alpha + 2)}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \frac{\partial_{--}}{\square^2} \\
\langle MC \rangle &= \langle CM \rangle = \frac{-32i\alpha(\alpha + 1)}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \frac{1}{\square} \\
\langle BB \rangle &= -\frac{16i\alpha(\alpha + 2)(3\alpha + 10)}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \frac{\partial_{--}^2}{\square^2}, \\
\langle MM \rangle &= \frac{8i\alpha(\alpha + 4)}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \\
\langle MB \rangle &= -\langle BM \rangle = \frac{-48i\alpha(\alpha + 2)}{3\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 4} \frac{\partial_{--}}{\square} \\
\langle (\rho + i\eta)(\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta}) \rangle &= -4\alpha \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{++}}{\square} \\
\langle (\rho + i\eta)(\chi + i\omega) \rangle &= 4\alpha \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{++}}{\square} \\
\langle (\bar{\chi} - i\bar{\omega})(\bar{\rho} - i\bar{\eta}) \rangle &= 4\alpha \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{++}}{\square} \\
\langle (\bar{\chi} - i\bar{\omega})(\chi + i\omega) \rangle &= 4(\alpha + 4) \frac{\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{++}}{\square}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

One immediately checks that the extra gauge field, B_{--} , does not decouple from the matter sector. In the non-Abelian case, the extra gauge potential B_{--} also behaves as a second gauge field, exactly as it did in the Abelian case. It is very interesting to point out that, in the Abelian case, B_{--} showed the same behaviour as here : a massless pole of order two [1]; the difference is that there, it coupled only to C instead of C and M , but these two fields show the same kind of behaviour: they are both compensating fields. Once again, this ensures us to state that B_{--} behaves as a physical gauge field: it has dynamics and couples both to matter and the gauge field A^μ . Its only remaining peculiarity regards the presence of a single component

in the light-cone coordinates.

Let us now turn to the coupling of the two gauge potentials, A_μ and B_{--} , to a non-linear σ -model always keeping a supersymmetric scenario. It is our main purpose henceforth to carry out the coupling of a $(2,0)$ σ -model to the relaxed gauge superfields of the ref. [7], and show that the extra vector degrees of freedom do not decouple from the matter fields (that is, the target space coordinates)[9]-[12]. To perform the coupling of the σ -model to the Yang-Mills fields we reason along the same considerations as in ref.[1] and find out that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\xi &= \partial_i[K(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) - \xi(\Phi) - \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{\Phi})]\nabla_{--}\Phi^i + \\ &- \tilde{\partial}_i[K(\Phi, \tilde{\Phi}) - \xi(\Phi) - \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{\Phi})]\nabla_{--}\tilde{\Phi}^i,\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where $\xi(\Phi)$ and $\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{\Phi})$ are a pair of *chiral* and *antichiral* superfields, $\tilde{\Phi}_i \equiv \exp(i\mathbf{L}_{V\bar{k}})\bar{\Phi}_i$ and $\nabla_{--}\Phi^i$ and $\nabla_{--}\tilde{\Phi}^i$ are defined in perfect analogy to what is done in the case of the bosonic σ -model:

$$\nabla_{--}\Phi_i \equiv \partial_{--}\Phi_i - g\Gamma_{--}^\alpha k_\alpha^i(\Phi)\tag{18}$$

and

$$\nabla_{--}\tilde{\Phi}_i \equiv \partial_{--}\tilde{\Phi}_i - g\Gamma_{--}^\alpha \bar{k}_{\alpha i}(\tilde{\Phi}).\tag{19}$$

The interesting point we would like to stress is that the extra gauge degrees of freedom accommodated in the component-field $B_{--}(x)$ of the superconnection Γ_{--} behave as a genuine gauge field that shares with A^μ the feature of coupling to matter and to σ -model [7]. This result can be explicitly read off from the component-field Lagrangian projected out from the

superfield Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_\xi$. We therefore conclude that our less constrained $(2,0)$ -gauge theory yields a pair of gauge potentials that naturally transform under the action of a single compact and simple gauge group and may be consistently coupled to matter fields as well as to the $(2,0)$ non-linear σ -models by means of the gauging of their isotropy and isometry groups.

Relaxing constraints in the $N = 1$ - and $N = 2 - D = 3$ supersymmetric algebra of covariant derivatives may lead to a number of peculiar features of gauged nonlinear σ -model[13] in the presence of Born-Infeld terms for the pair of gauge potentials that show up from the relaxation of the superspace constraints[14].

References

- [1] A. B. Penna-Firme, M. S. Góes-Negrão and M. R. Negrão, “ $(2,0)$ -Super-Yang-Mills Coupled to Non-Linear σ -Models”, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 189.
- [2] C. M. Hull and E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.* **160B**(1985) 398.
- [3] P. Candelas, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B258**(1985) 46;
C. M. Hull, *Nucl. Phys.* **B260**(1985) 182 and *Nucl. Phys.* **B267**(1986) 266;
A. Sen, *Phys. Rev.* **D32**(1985) and *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**(1985) 1846.
- [4] M. Sakamoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B151**(1985) 115.

- [5] D. J. Gross, J. A. Harvey, E. J. Martinec and R. Rohm, *Phys. Rev. Lett.***54**(1985) 502.
- [6] M. Porrati and E. T. Tomboulis, *Nucl. Phys.***B 315**(1989) 615;
 J. Quackenbush, *Phys. Lett.***B234**(1990) 285;
 H. Oguri and C. Vafa, *Mod. Phys. Lett.***A5** (1990) 1389; *Nucl. Phys.***B361**
 (1991) 469; *Nucl. Phys.***B367** (1991) 83;
 S. J. Gates Jr. and H. Nishino, *Mod. Phys. Lett.***A7** (1992) 2543;
 S. J. Gates Jr. S. V. Ketov and H. Nishino, *Phys. Lett.***B307** (1993) 323;
 S. J. Gates Jr. S. V. Ketov and H. Nishino, *Phys. Lett.***B297** (1992) 99;
*Nucl. Phys.***B393** (1993) 149;
 M. A. De Andrade, O. M. Del Cima and L. P. Colatto, *Phys. Lett.***B370**
 (1996) 59.
- [7] N. Chair, J. A. Helayël-Neto and A. William Smith, *Phys. Lett.***B 233**(1989) 173.
- [8] M. Dine and N. Seiberg, , *Phys. Lett.***180B**(1986) 364.
- [9] J. Bagger and E. Witten, *Phys. Lett.***118B**(1982) 103.
- [10] C. M. Hull, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, *Nucl. Phys.***B266**(1986) 1; M. Carvalho, J. A. Helayël-Neto and M. W. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev***D55** (1997) 7574;
 M. Carvalho, J. A. Helayël-Neto and L. C. Q. Vilar, *Helv. Phys. Acta***71**
 (1998) 184.

- [11] R. Brooks. F. Muhammad and S. J. Gates Jr., *Nucl. Phys.***B268**(1986) 599.
- [12] C. A. S. Almeida, J. A. Helayël-Neto and A. William Smith, *Mod. Phys. Lett.***A6**(1991) 1397 and *Phys. Lett.***279B**(1992) 75.
- [13] P. K. Tripathy, *Phys. Rev.***D59** (1999) 085004.
- [14] M. S. Góes-Negrão, J. A. Helayël-Neto and M. R. Negrão, *work in progress*.